



## Nathan Wells

Call: 2000

### Barrister

#### CONTACT

Email  
nwells@radcliffe.testssite.co.uk

Email Clerk  
clerks@radcliffechambers.com

Telephone  
020 7831 0081

#### ADDRESS

11 New Square  
Lincoln's Inn  
London WC2A 3QB

DX: 319 London  
Telephone: 020 7831 0081  
Fax: +44 (0)20 7405 2560

**Nathan Wells** has a broad chancery practice, with a particular emphasis on wills, probate and estate administration, trusts, charities, real property and related professional negligence. He also has considerable expertise in the specialist area of fisheries law and is ranked as a Tier 1 leading junior for Agriculture by the Legal 500. He has appeared before the House of Lords, the Court of Appeal and the Privy Council. In addition to his regular work in the Business and Property Courts, he has conducted cases in the Administrative Court, the Family Division and numerous specialist tribunals. Nathan also undertakes a substantial amount of advisory work and he appears regularly as counsel in mediations. Nathan is ranked as a leading junior by both The Legal 500 UK Bar and Chambers UK Bar. He is also called to the Northern Irish Bar.

#### WILLS AND ESTATES

This is one of the main elements of Nathan's practice, which involves all aspects of the law relating to wills, contentious and non-contentious probate and estate administration. He is the current editor of the Trusts and Estates section of *Butterworths Civil Court Precedents* and a member of ACTAPS.

Nathan is recommended as a leading junior for Traditional Chancery by Chambers UK.

His work in this area includes:

- Advising on will construction and acting in construction summonses
- Contentious probate claims
- Advising on the wide range of claims arising under the Non-Contentious Probate Rules 1987 and appearing before the Principal and District Probate Registries in more contentious applications under these Rules
- Claims for the passing over of potential personal representatives under s 116 SCA 1981 and for the removal/substitution of personal representatives under s 50 AJA 1985
- Claims for devastavit and breach of will trusts
- Claims for family provision under the Inheritance (Provision for Family and Dependents) Act 1975 (including claims for provision from nuptial settlements)

- Claims for the rectification of wills
- Claims relating to mutual wills
- Accounting claims against personal representatives
- Part 64 claims for the court's directions concerning the administration of estates
- Claims under the Presumption of Death Act 2013

A good deal of Nathan's work in this area – particularly claims under the 1975 Act – is successfully settled in mediation.

In *Re S decd* (Fam Div, 2008) he acted for the successful Respondent in an appeal from the Principal Probate Registry to the Family Division of the High Court. The case raised a number of issues, including the vexatious lodging of caveats, and he obtained a somewhat unusual order vacating existing caveats and preventing the lodging of further caveats without the Judge's consent.

In *Ferneley v Napier* [2011] WTLR 1303, he acted for the successful Defendants in an eight day trial in the Chancery Division in which the Claimant sought to propound an alleged will on the basis of oral evidence, pursuant to the principle in *Sugden v Lord St Leonards*.

In *Dixon v Dixon* (Ch D, 2017) he acted for the successful Claimant in overturning a purported last Will on the basis of lack of testamentary capacity, notwithstanding a contemporaneous medical report which had purported to confirm the existence of capacity.

In *Austin v Mitchell* [2020] EWHC 3486 (Ch) he acted for the Claimant in proceedings brought under the Presumption of Death Act 2013, relating to the disappearance of a young man in Canada in 1989.

Nathan has considerable experience of acting in claims for the passing over or removal/substitution of personal representatives. His recent reported cases in this area include *Hudman v Morris* [2021] WTLR 877.

## TRUSTS

Trusts law forms one of the main elements of Nathan's practice. He is a co-author of *Tolley's Practitioner's Guide to the Powers and Duties of Trustees* and editor of the Trusts and Estates section of *Butterworths Civil Court Precedents*. He is a member of ACTAPS.

Nathan is recommended as a leading junior for Traditional Chancery by Chambers UK.

He acts regularly in trusts litigation and produces advice and specialist drafting in less contentious trusts matters. His practice covers private trusts (including will trusts), charitable trusts and pension trusts, and includes:

- Advising on the interpretation and administration of trusts and applying to the court for directions under CPR Part 64
- Claims for breach of trust by private, charitable and pension trustees
- Claims for the removal of trustees under the High Court's inherent jurisdiction or under the various statutory powers of removal
- Claims for Accounts
- Claims involving common intention constructive trusts, under the principles in *Lloyds Bank v Rosset*, *Stack v Dowden*, *Jones v Kernott*, etc.
- Claims under TLATA 1996
- Claims for Beddoes and Benjamin relief
- Claims relating to trustees' rights of retainer and indemnity, and other aspects of trustees' costs and expenses
- Claims involving secret and half secret trusts
- Applications under the Variation of Trusts Act 1958

He acted (as junior to Robert Pearce KC) for the University of London in *University of London v Prag* [2015] WTLR 705, where the High Court was asked to construe a

1944 Deed of Trust relating to the Warburg Institute.

As well as pure trusts advice and litigation, Nathan frequently encounters elements of trust law as part of his commercial and local government work. He has, for instance, provided trust law advice in the context of housing benefit and council tax benefit claims, and in *Oxford City Council v Pullen* [2005] PLSCS 166 he had to consider the effects of a declaration of trust over a local authority tenancy in the context of a claim for possession.

## **PROPERTY**

Real property forms one of the main elements of Nathan's practice. He has advised on and acted in property cases before all the major tribunals, including the House of Lords and the Privy Council.

He is ranked as a leading junior for Property Litigation by *The Legal 500 UK Bar* which particularly recommends him for "*esoteric land-related problems*."

He has very considerable experience of dealing with the specialist area of public and private fisheries (see separate profile).

His practice covers all branches of real property and landlord-tenant law, including the following:

- Proprietary estoppel
- Adverse possession
- Boundary disputes
- Land Registration
- Co-ownership (including TLATA applications, common intention constructive trusts and equitable accounting)
- Easements
- Profits à prendre
- Fisheries
- Nuisance
- Trespass
- Restrictive covenants
- Commercial landlord and tenant, including all aspects of LTA 1954
- Residential landlord and tenant, including public and private sector housing
- Leasehold enfranchisement
- Highways

He particularly enjoys acting in cases which have an unusual or historical element, such as those which raise issues of riparian or sporting rights or the older forms of conveyancing.

His property work often raises a wide range of ancillary issues, such as conversion, restitution, regulatory offences and claims under the Animals Act 1971.

Nathan acted as sole counsel for the Respondent before the House of Lords in *London Diocesan Fund v Phithwa (Avonridge Property Co Ltd, Part 20 defendant)* [2005] 1 WLR 236, where the House considered the anti-avoidance provisions of the Landlord and Tenant (Covenants) Act 1995. He acted as junior counsel for the Appellant in *Creque v Penn* (2007) 70 WIR 150, where the Privy Council considered the effect of a receipt clause in BVI registered conveyancing.

He acted for the successful Claimant in *Owers v Bailey* [2007] P&CR DG17, a rare example of a case in which aggravated damages were awarded for interference with an easement.

He acted for the successful Defendant in *Pearson v Foster* [2017] EWHC 107 (Ch), a seven day High Court trial in which a number of unusual and complex property issues were raised, including the riparian rights attaching to an artificial watercourse, the extinguishment of profits of fishery and prescriptive rights to impound and release water for the benefit of an ancient watermill.

In *Borwick Development Solutions Ltd v Clear Water Fisheries Ltd* [2020] 1 WLR 559 (High Court) he argued successfully that solar panel units had become fixtures, with the result that title to them had passed automatically with the land and they had not therefore been converted by the purchaser.

## **FISHERIES AND WATER LAW**

Nathan has a particular interest in, and substantial experience of, the common law of fisheries. He is ranked as a Tier 1 Leading Junior for Agriculture by *The Legal 500 UK Bar*.

His clients have included landed estates, angling clubs, developers, farmers and residential property owners. He deals with shellfish and floating fish and with profits of fishery and corporeal fisheries in all tidal and non-tidal waters (including artificial watercourses). His recent advisory and litigation work has included the following issues:

- The interpretation of grants/reservations of fisheries
- Registration of fishing rights
- Rights of access to fisheries
- The implication of rights ancillary to profits of fishery
- Interference with fishing rights
- The existence of several fisheries in tidal waters (which require a Crown grant prior to 1189)
- Property rights in fish stocks
- Extinguishment and abandonment of fisheries
- Ownership of river beds

In addition to his specific work on fisheries, Nathan also has considerable advisory and litigation experience concerning property rights relating to water. His recent work has included agricultural and domestic drainage rights, claims to a supply of water, claims against statutory water undertakers under s 209 WIA 1991, the nature and operation of riparian owners' rights in natural and artificial watercourses and prescriptive easements regulating the supply of water to an ancient watermill.

Nathan acted for the successful Defendant in *Pearson v Foster* [2017] EWHC 107 (Ch). The Claimant argued unsuccessfully that certain rights ancillary to his profit of fishery entitled him to interfere with the sluice gates serving the Defendant's ancient watermill. The case raised a substantial number of complex issues, including the interpretation of the ancillary rights, extinguishment of fisheries, the boundaries of single bank fishing, the nature and effect of the Defendant's riparian rights and the prescriptive rights to impound and release water for the benefit of the watermill.

He acted for the successful Appellant in *Borwick Development Solutions Ltd v Clear Water Fisheries Ltd* [2021] Ch 153, in which the Court of Appeal clarified the nature of proprietary rights in living fish within enclosed waters. The case involved consideration of English authorities going back to the 16<sup>th</sup> century, Commonwealth and US authorities and elements of Roman Law.

Nathan deals with public and private law claims as part of his fisheries practice. He recently acted for the claimant in *R (on the application of Glass Eels Ltd t/a UK Glass Eels) v SoS for the Environment Food and Rural Affairs* [2023] ACD 55, challenging a refusal to permit the export of live glass eels to Hong Kong.

## **CHARITIES**

Nathan's practice involves all aspects of charities law. He regularly advises on such matters as:

- The charitable status of bequests and inter vivos gifts
- Charity registration
- Sale of charity land

- The conduct of meetings of charitable bodies
- The appointment and removal of charity trustees
- The powers and duties of charity trustees
- Charity investment and
- The making of schemes.

He acted (as junior to Robert Pearce KC) for the University of London in *University of London v Prag* [2015] WTLR 705, where the High Court was asked to construe a charitable trust affecting the Warburg Institute.

## **PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY**

Nathan regularly acts in and advises on professional negligence claims related to his main areas of practice. Much of his professional negligence work therefore involves claims brought against barristers, solicitors or accountants in the context of conveyancing, will drafting and IHT planning, although he has also been involved in claims against other property professionals including surveyors and architects.

Much of his professional negligence work concludes in settlement, but his reported cases include *Green v Eadie* [2012] Ch 363 (and before the Court of Appeal at [2012] EWCA Civ 762) which involved, inter alia, a professional negligence claim against conveyancers who were alleged not to have advised properly on the boundaries of the property purchased by their client.

## **COMMERCIAL**

Nathan is regularly involved in commercial litigation. He has advised on and acted in a wide range of commercial/contractual disputes, with clients ranging from public relations firms to property developers and subject-matter ranging from loan agreements and the sale/supply of goods to trade marks.

He has acted in a number of cases for IFAs who were involved in disputes about the interpretation and effect of their network contracts. These included *Shaw v Lighthouseexpress Ltd* [2010] EWCA Civ 161, in which he acted for the successful Appellant before the Court of Appeal.

Nathan's commercial work frequently involves an international element and he has considerable experience of advising on jurisdictional issues under the Brussels Regulation (Recast) and the common law principles of forum (non) conveniens.

## **MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION**

Nathan has considerable experience as an advocate in mediations. He has participated in a large number of mediations over the years, almost all of which have resulted in settlement.

Nathan has acted successfully in mediations involving commercial clients and large businesses as well as those involving family members.

He has found that private client disputes between family members, such as Will disputes and 1975 Act claims, are particularly amenable to mediation and many of his cases in these areas result in settlement.

Ultimately, however, he takes a realistic approach to each case. In those (fairly rare) cases which are not likely to be susceptible to mediation, he will ensure that time and money is not wasted in pursuing an unattainable settlement.

## **RECOGNITION**

Nathan is recommended for Property Litigation and Agriculture by The Legal 500 and Traditional Chancery by Chambers UK.

Recent editorial has included:

- "Nathan is technically very good and is also a skilled advocate." (Agriculture, *The Legal 500 UK Bar* 2026)
- "Excellent at very tricky issues. Incredibly bright and articulate and thinks outside the box to come up with solutions." (Private Wealth and Probate, *Legal 500 UK Bar* 2026)
- "Nathan Wells is an exceptionally talented barrister. Judges respect his advocacy skills and demeanour, and he provides no-nonsense advice which lay clients appreciate and understand." (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers UK Bar* 2026)
- "Nathan Wells is brilliant. He is user-friendly but also thinks outside the box. He thinks of arguments that others can't." (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers UK Bar* 2026)
- "Unstuffy, highly intelligent, unflappable in court and very supportive to instructing solicitors." (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers UK Bar* 2025)
- "An extremely bright, thorough and analytical junior" (Agriculture, *The Legal 500 UK Bar* 2024)
- "He has an excellent eye for detail, but combines that with a keen sense of commercial reality and a fundamental focus on the client's best interests." (Property Litigation, *Legal 500 UK Bar* 2024)
- "Meticulous, highly intelligent, intuitive and not afraid to take on a challenge and seek out ways forward in complex, contentious probate proceedings. He works tirelessly to find the best solution, and his written work is a thing of sheer brilliance." (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers UK Bar* 2024)
- "Incredibly thorough, he sees the wood for the trees, and identifies the issues very well. Nathan is an absolute pleasure to work with." (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers UK Bar* 2024)
- "He is excellent in every way. Incredibly thorough with everything he considers. He sees the wood for the trees, is very clear and identifies issues very well. His written work is also outstanding. Nathan is an absolute pleasure to work with." (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers HNW London Bar* 2023)
- "Nathan Wells is an exceptional barrister. He is meticulous, highly intelligent, intuitive and not afraid of being set challenges by his lay client and seeking out ways forward in complex contentious probate proceedings. He also works tirelessly to find the best solution and his written work is sheer brilliance, leaving nothing to chance. His in-depth knowledge and ability to source relevant information has been invaluable." (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers HNW London Bar* 2023)
- "Nathan is a sublime barrister. He undertakes work meticulously and considers all angles to provide practical and tactical advice. Rather than theatrical, his measured advocacy style and impressive ability to recall key facts is particularly effective." (Property Litigation, *Legal 500 UK Bar* 2023)
- "He is extremely thorough, and his attention to detail is excellent. His advice is both thorough and commercially savvy." (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers UK Bar* 2022)
- "Very professional and has a nice manner about him." "He's very helpful, thorough and knowledgeable." (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers UK Bar* 2021)
- "Produces very clear thorough advice that clients can understand." (Agriculture, *Legal 500 UK Bar* 2021)
- "He is highly intelligent, has a profound knowledge of the law, and possesses strong analytical skills which enable him to understand the fundamentals of a case swiftly and accurately." (Property Litigation, *Legal 500 UK Bar* 2021)
- "He's very professional and has a nice manner about him." (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers HNW London Bar* 2020)
- "He is very helpful, thorough and knowledgeable." (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers HNW London Bar* 2020)
- "A solid advocate who is very well prepared." (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers UK Bar* 2020)
- "Very thorough with clear advice that the client can understand." (Agriculture, *The Legal 500 UK Bar* 2020)

- *"Astonishing attention to detail and handles clients fantastically."* (Property Litigation, *The Legal 500 UK Bar 2020*)
- *"His written work is amazing and he is very academic in his writing style; he can write a frightening letter to the other side. He is very quick to identify the courses of action which need to be taken."* *"Explains complex concepts in a very lucid way, and always keeps the judge's attention."* (Chancery: traditional, *Chambers UK Bar 2019*)
- *"He remains calm, articulate and unruffled in difficult circumstances."* (Agriculture, *The Legal 500 UK Bar 2019*)
- *"His pleadings are brilliant and forensically constructed"* (Property litigation, *The Legal 500 UK Bar 2019*)
- *"Excellent at reaching favourable settlements for his client, and very bright. He gives very clear advice and is an authoritative figure."* *"Creative and imaginative in his approach to legal advice, he can think outside the box."* (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers UK Bar 2018*)
- *"A very good advocate who keeps the judge's attention and makes strong arguments."* (Chambers HNW 2018)
- *"A highly intelligent and conscientious barrister, who is unflappable and invariably good humoured."* (Property Litigation, *The Legal 500 UK Bar 2017*)
- *"Exceptional, his advocacy is first-rate, but undramatic, as befits the Chancery Division."* (Agriculture, *The Legal 500 UK Bar 2017*)
- *"The quality of his written work is excellent. He is invariably well prepared and always appears calm and unruffled, even in circumstances where others might become flustered."* (Chancery: Traditional, *Chambers UK Bar 2017*)
- *"At the top of his game."* (Property litigation, *The Legal 500 UK Bar 2016*)
- *"Very hardworking...He has a sharp intellect...and although he is undoubtedly a very clever man he possesses a natural charm and modesty which puts lay clients at their ease. There is nothing pompous or pedantic about him and he is a good listener. His paperwork is immaculate and reflects the scholarly approach which he brings to every matter."* (Chambers HNW 2016)

## **PUBLICATIONS**

Nathan is a co-author (with Stephen Lloyd, Jill Gibson and Catherine Finely) of Tolley's Practitioner's Guide to Powers and Duties of Trustees.

He is also the current editor of the Trusts and Estates section of Butterworths Civil Court Precedents.

## **QUALIFICATIONS**

- BA (Jurisprudence): Pembroke College Oxford.
- LLM: St Catharine's College Cambridge.

Nathan was called to the Bar in 2000 and began his tenancy after spending six months as the Judicial Assistant to the President of the Family Division and the Vice-Chancellor. He had previously worked as a Research Assistant at the Law Commission (Property and Trust team) and had taught Land Law at Oxford.

## **MEMBERSHIPS**

Chancery Bar Association; ACTAPS; Northern Ireland Bar.

## **POLICIES AND OTHER DETAILS**

- Read Nathan's [Privacy Notice](#), [Data Protection Policy](#) and [Disposal Policy](#)